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1. THE ECONOMIC ROLE OF MIGRATION

Labor migration is an important source of economic growth for Thailand and has implications for its long term competitiveness in the regional and global arena. Disparities in economic development mean that workers in lesser developed economies are attracted to neighboring countries where conditions are better in their search for work. With the positive economic contributions of migrant workers, migration has become institutionalized by participating governments and agencies. Migration provides a means not only to improve employment opportunities and the livelihood of migrant workers but also to help integrate economies. It allows labor markets to improve their workers’ knowledge and skills, and better utilize them in different sectors while allowing women to play a more active role in contributing to their economies and opening up employment opportunities for them in other countries. Moreover, it ensures that labor markets are occupied and economic outputs are sustained. Migration also sustains the inflow of remittances into developing economies – perhaps at higher levels than what migrant workers would have been able to contribute if they were still working in their home countries. Labor migration further contributes to regional economic interaction and investment, which in turn influences the growth and sustainability of economic surplus. On the negative side, however, migration could contribute to brain drain from origination countries, regional inequality (in terms of economic development), unemployment in destination countries, and a high dependence on migrant workers.

2. THAILAND’S LABOR MIGRATION TRENDS

2.1 Thailand as a Sending Country

Thailand plays an important yet minor role in sending its own workers to different parts of the world to work. With better opportunities and income available abroad, Thai workers are attracted to job markets elsewhere. As of January 2009, Thailand’s unemployment rate stood at 2.36 percent, with many workers in different sectors, especially those in the manufacturing and tourism sectors, having lost their jobs in the first quarter and more of them at risk of losing their jobs in the coming months owing to the potential for political turmoil that the country faces. Fewer options are therefore left for the country’s workforce; many are relying on work overseas to sustain their livelihood and that of their families. Since 1995, Thailand has witnessed a steady increase in the number of workers leaving the country in search of work abroad. With the eruption of Thailand’s economic crisis in 1997, the number of Thai workers emigrating for work abroad increased significantly. Likewise, the number of companies and firms requesting workers from Thailand also increased significantly, especially in the past few years (see Table 1 for details). The latest reported data on the number of Thai workers for the first two months of 2009, i.e., January and February, indicated a cumulative total number of 160,851 registered applicants and 28,868 placements. Major destination economies for Thai workers include Taiwan, Singapore, Malaysia, Japan, and Israel. Thai workers who leave the country for work have varying levels of

** Dr. Yongyuth is Research Director for Labor Development, and Ms. Prugsamatz is Researcher, Human Resources and Social Development Program, TDRI.
education with a relatively large portion of workers having completed at least high school. Other workers hold vocational certificates and diplomas and a significant number of workers also hold bachelor’s degrees. Most Thai overseas workers are young and it is evident that more males leave the country for work than females.

The majority of Thais working abroad engage in production, technical work and transport equipment operation; some are classified as laborers. Very few take up professional and administrative positions or perform clerical, sales, and service work. It may be observed that these jobs are a reflection of the workers’ educational background and work expectations. Moreover, a significant factor for Thais seeking work abroad is the amount of remittances they will be able to send back to Thailand. Over the years, it is evident that there has been a steady increase in the amount of remittances, with the latest figures reported in 2008 amounting to around 60 billion baht (US$1 = about 35 baht). For the first two months of 2009, it was reported that Thai workers overseas remitted around 10 billion baht (see trends depicted in Figure 1).

It can therefore be seen that a number of Thai workers choose to work abroad in different countries. However, in comparing Thailand as a country sending migrants abroad to one that receives labor migrants from other countries, it is clear that Thailand is a significant receiving country. The trends that have surfaced over the past decade hold implications for the local labor market and the country’s economy.

2.2 Thailand as a Receiving Country

As a receiving country, Thailand plays a major role in taking in workers from different countries but mainly from Myanmar, Cambodia, and the Lao People’s Democratic Republic (Lao PDR). Of these three, Myanmar has been a significant sending country for Thailand. It is evident that the number of unregistered migrant workers in Thailand is much larger than that of registered migrant workers putting the total number of migrant workers in Thailand close to around 2 million, with some estimates going as high as 4 million. With such increases in inward migration, Thailand has also experienced various challenges that have yet to be tackled. Figure 2 presents details on the number of registered migrant workers in Thailand.

Table 1 Overseas Employment Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>277,303</td>
<td>292,535</td>
<td>245,324</td>
<td>210,772</td>
<td>208,580</td>
<td>354,468</td>
<td>444,021</td>
<td>413,389</td>
<td>391,962</td>
<td>450,865</td>
<td>510,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancies</td>
<td>32,328</td>
<td>36,149</td>
<td>43,944</td>
<td>42,754</td>
<td>67,067</td>
<td>65,576</td>
<td>69,144</td>
<td>58,215</td>
<td>38,588</td>
<td>28,123</td>
<td>27,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placements</td>
<td>144,687</td>
<td>144,145</td>
<td>124,144</td>
<td>110,670</td>
<td>75,853</td>
<td>104,145</td>
<td>151,963</td>
<td>145,677</td>
<td>163,657</td>
<td>183,282</td>
<td>226,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of firms</td>
<td>5,864</td>
<td>5,580</td>
<td>6,747</td>
<td>7,094</td>
<td>17,487</td>
<td>25,840</td>
<td>29,197</td>
<td>30,108</td>
<td>28,932</td>
<td>22,133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td>356,367</td>
<td>105,499</td>
<td>174,174</td>
<td>184,802</td>
<td>176,793</td>
<td>219,242</td>
<td>227,064</td>
<td>243,097</td>
<td>250,315</td>
<td>241,499</td>
<td>219,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Thai workers</td>
<td>191,735</td>
<td>202,416</td>
<td>193,039</td>
<td>160,252</td>
<td>160,807</td>
<td>147,769</td>
<td>148,596</td>
<td>139,672</td>
<td>159,518</td>
<td>162,017</td>
<td>161,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Taiwan</td>
<td>106,828</td>
<td>115,096</td>
<td>107,572</td>
<td>90,358</td>
<td>79,589</td>
<td>75,849</td>
<td>69,982</td>
<td>57,663</td>
<td>61,689</td>
<td>52,193</td>
<td>45,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Singapore</td>
<td>17,069</td>
<td>24,525</td>
<td>22,989</td>
<td>21,351</td>
<td>35,354</td>
<td>12,980</td>
<td>11,338</td>
<td>11,780</td>
<td>14,598</td>
<td>16,271</td>
<td>14,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Malaysia</td>
<td>9,031</td>
<td>17,716</td>
<td>20,541</td>
<td>3,457</td>
<td>14,619</td>
<td>7,479</td>
<td>5,833</td>
<td>4,915</td>
<td>3,297</td>
<td>3,432</td>
<td>3,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Japan</td>
<td>10,790</td>
<td>5,278</td>
<td>4,767</td>
<td>4,972</td>
<td>4,701</td>
<td>5,037</td>
<td>5,857</td>
<td>6,585</td>
<td>7,134</td>
<td>8,002</td>
<td>7,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Israel</td>
<td>10,644</td>
<td>11,940</td>
<td>7,617</td>
<td>11,295</td>
<td>12,952</td>
<td>6,327</td>
<td>10,611</td>
<td>8,746</td>
<td>9,305</td>
<td>10,903</td>
<td>7,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>37,373</td>
<td>27,861</td>
<td>29,553</td>
<td>28,858</td>
<td>33,592</td>
<td>40,597</td>
<td>44,975</td>
<td>49,983</td>
<td>63,495</td>
<td>71,216</td>
<td>83,678</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 1 Remittances Sent Home by Thai Overseas Workers, 1995-2008

Figure 2  Number of Registered Migrant Workers in Thailand between 1996 and 2008


From Figure 2, it is clear that Thailand has gone through different registration periods, with different objectives and outcomes, under different government administrations. From the start of registration in 1996 to the registration that took place in early 2009, Thailand itself has gone through over 10 government administrations, inclusive of the recent administrative body appointed to run the country. These have had an impact on the management of migrant workers within the country. Migrant workers who enter the country work mainly in manufacturing sector, agricultural sector, fishing sector, and domestic work sector. These sectors represent the type of work that migrant workers take up, and the type of work that local Thai workers avoid. Most often the jobs that migrant workers take are categorized as dirty, dangerous, and difficult (the so-called 3D jobs). They pose different types of threats to the migrant worker, ranging from various forms of exploitation to outright abuse, under dangerous and harmful working environments and conditions, lacking the protection of labor laws. Others include abuse by employers, and exploitation by local authorities and police, to name a few. Unregistered migrant workers are more susceptible to such exploitation because they are not recognized by the system and are considered illegal (Pearson et al. 2006). Moreover, given that the majority of migrant workers in the country are Burmese, it has become increasingly evident that their registered status influences the amount of protection they receive while working in Thailand (Supang et al. 2007).

Different internal push and pull factors exist that eventually attract migrant workers from Thailand’s neighboring countries to migrate to Thailand in search of work. In looking at some of the push factors that migrant workers face at home, it is evident that political and economic situations within their own countries influence their decision to find work elsewhere. The remittances they send home amount to around 12.6 billion baht annually. However, this estimation is somewhat ambiguous in view of the fact that there are more unregistered migrant workers than that of registered migrant workers in Thailand; thus any valid estimation of numbers and figures could be challenged and questioned. Besides push factors, pull factors also attract migrant workers to come to Thailand for work and these include better job opportunities and higher incomes. Despite the fact that migrant workers working in Thailand earn wages below the standard minimum wages set by the government, they are still able to earn more than what they usually would back home. This is enough of an incentive for them to seek work in Thailand. Moreover, most unregistered migrant workers are known to stay on in the country and not return home. Eventually migrant workers build new homes and establish new lives in the host country and stay on as long as they are able to avoid local authorities and the police (Pearson et al. 2006; Supang et al. 2007).

3. NATIONAL POLICIES AND LAWS AND THE CONTRIBUTION OF REGIONAL AND GLOBAL FRAMEWORKS ON LABOR MIGRATION IN THAILAND

While different policies and laws exist to manage the labor migration situation in Thailand, it has become
increasingly evident that these policies and laws are more passive than active in their interventions and protection. With Thai workers going abroad for work, very few policies and laws exist to protect them with the local Labor Protection Act, which provides a basis for the protection to which local Thai workers are entitled. Nevertheless, in managing the Thai labor migration situation, the following are some of the policies and laws that are utilized:

- Constitution of the Kingdom of Thailand B.E. 2550 (2007)
- Nationality Act B.E. 2551 (2008)
- Immigration Act B.E. 2522 (1977)

For migrant workers working in Thailand, the most relevant policy is that of the Alien Employment Act 2008. Recently updated, it contains a few changes to the previous Act in order to accommodate certain groups of migrant workers and also to make the system more accessible to all parties involved. These policies guide all participants in the labor migration process in the recruitment, protection, and return of migrant workers. While the policies and laws themselves provide a sound basis for implementation, Thailand has yet to make a committed stance in implementing some of the most important policies and laws that protect migrant workers while they work in Thailand as well as guiding employers in abiding by the policies and laws set by the government. The existence of a large number of unregistered migrant workers in the country speaks for the gaps that still exist in the policies and laws. Some of the reasons why these policies and laws are ineffective are related to the costs involved both for the migrant worker as well as for the employer, the lengthy process involved in recruiting/hiring migrant workers, the implications of repatriation for the migrant workers, the lack of clarity in the objectives and purpose of the policies and laws, and the lack of information dissemination to all parties involved.

Most migrant workers as well as employers are unable to meet those costs and feel that in the long run they are not truly benefitting from the system as the policies and laws in place to protect them do not really function well. The system also involves a lengthy process for both parties and some migrant workers often have to wait for many weeks or months before they are granted their work permits to work in the country. (Vitiy 2005; Supang et al. 2007). Additionally, there is a lack of clarity in the objectives and purpose of the policies and laws as well as the roles and responsibilities of the governing bodies. Agencies put in charge of overlooking the implementation of these policies and laws often have their own objectives and agenda, which differ from those of other agencies also involved. (Huguet 2008).

Besides the national policies and laws that exist in Thailand, the government has also signed memorandums of understanding (MOUs) with neighboring Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) countries and has participated in ratifying some conventions that have implications for the labor migration situation in Thailand. Major MOUs signed are with Myanmar, Cambodia, and Lao PDR. Besides the MOUs that Thailand has signed with major sending countries, the country has also ratified 14 conventions with the International Labour Organization (ILO). Just how effective these MOUs or conventions are is questionable. Obstacles still remain in implementing these bilateral agreements, some of which include the legal status of migrant workers; their recruitment under the framework; the recruitment expenses incurred; trends in illegal labor migration; conflict among workers, employers, and recruitment agencies; and the protection of the rights of migrant workers (Pracha 2008). Implementation of these MOUs has been limited despite the benefits attached to them. Additionally, cooperation from neighboring countries also means that more initiatives need to be taken on both sides to ensure the effectiveness and implementation of the MOUs in a timely and consistent manner, and that they actually deliver on what is promised.

4. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF LABOR MIGRATION IN THAILAND

It is indisputable that labor migration in Thailand has produced positive effects for the country. Although only limited study has been undertaken on the actual economic contribution of migrant workers to Thailand, different perspectives exist on the types of positive effects migrant workers have had on the country’s economic sustainability and success at the subregional level. It is agreed that migrant workers contribute to Thailand’s national output. This is especially true for sectors such as agriculture and construction (Pungpound 2009). The value of Thailand’s agricultural exports have increased significantly over the years, by 75 percent in 2005 to 280 billion baht. Migrant workers contributed greatly to this sector and to the manufacture of garments and textiles worth 175 billion baht (Martin 2007). Moreover, with the increase of migrant workers in Thailand, employers in labor-intensive sectors have access to workers that they need. Since most the jobs taken up by migrant workers are dirty, dangerous, and difficult, employers do not have to worry about being able to hire sufficient numbers of workers for such jobs. Hiring migrant workers in Thailand also means lower costs for employers. It is evident that migrant workers are much cheaper to hire than local Thai workers given the wage differentials and the output gained from hiring migrant workers versus Thai workers. Lower costs incurred by employers translate into lower prices of
goods sold, which helps to keep the country’s national inflation rate low. Opportunities to exchange knowledge and information are also another benefit of migration. These include the sharing of specialized skills, knowledge, working methods, and new working processes. Moreover, with the availability of migrant workers in the country and employers to hire them in labor-intensive sectors, Thai workers are able to move up to sectors that require higher skills and benefit from the work and training gained from working in those sectors (Pearson et al. 2006; Pungpond 2009).

Labor migration also has negative impacts. While some of these negative impacts are accounted for in view of their nature, others are more difficult to pinpoint but often result in social costs that affect the migrant worker as well as those involved in the labor migration process. With the continued availability of migrant workers in the country as well as the easy, if not illegal, access to migrant workers, employers have been known to prefer hiring migrant workers rather than local Thai workers and this has implications for the utilization of the skills of Thai workers (Pungpond 2009). The high number of migrant workers in Thailand (registered and unregistered) can also have negative implications that result in social problems/costs: migrant workers who work in the sex industry, engage in drug trading, human trafficking, and other forms of crime; it may also results in the transmission of dangerous diseases. Unregistered migrant workers also lose out on the benefits that can help protect them.

5. IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GMS DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES: LESSONS LEARNED FROM THAILAND

The effects of the current global financial crisis have already begun unfolding around the world and Asian nations are also witnessing its impacts on their economies and development. With the spread of the crisis in the last quarter of 2008, Thailand had already started to experience its effects on labor migration. One significant effect has been the decrease in remittance flows for the first two months of 2009. Whereas the incoming remittance flow for January and February 2008 was worth about 10.36 billion baht, for the same two months in 2009 remittances were valued at 8.86 billion baht, which represents a significant reduction in the remittances from Thai workers overseas. This should come as no surprise as many Asian countries have already begun experiencing the negative impacts of the global financial crisis, with some receiving countries already placing restrictive policies on the number of migrant workers they will accept. For Thailand, a reduction in Thai workers overseas is already being experienced with regard to receiving countries such as the Republic of Korea and Malaysia, both of which are now restricting the hiring of foreign workers. The Thai government has also been cautious about its labor migration policies, initially leaning toward restrictive measures in curbing the number of migrant workers that may be hired. This has meant a delay in policy implementation and the initial postponement of the registration of migrant workers in the country. Besides these effects, migrant workers also risk poorer working conditions and lower wages combined with an increase in discrimination against migrant workers in the country who are perceived as taking jobs away from local workers. Moreover, the country has already put restrictions on new admissions, gauging from the local labor market situation and how many local workers are unemployed. With such restrictive policies and measures being taken along with the already high number of unregistered migrant workers in the country, migrant workers face pressures that would eventually drive them to resort to using illegal channels for acquiring work in order to be able to remain in Thailand. This could ignite future problems, such as human trafficking and the violation of migrant workers’ rights, not to mention produce negative economic impacts on Thailand given that labor migration itself does not take away jobs from local workers but rather creates economic activity and jobs for many sectors.

These emerging trends, combined with the country’s previous development initiatives, shed light on some of the lessons learned and their implications for future development initiatives as shown below:

- In order for policy implementation to be effective, all governing bodies must be aligned in their objectives and missions and reach consensus on the priorities they set with regard to labor migration. Strategies must represent those that are practical, timely, and measurable so that it would be possible to assess the success and effectiveness of the policies implemented. This includes bilateral cooperation and initiatives taken within the GMS and collective efforts that are complimentary and beneficial to all parties involved.
- Given that the jobs that migrant workers take up in the country are those that local workers themselves eschew, an implication to be considered is the availability of these jobs, the labor demand of employers as well as the interest of local workers in taking up such jobs. These factors could shape the nature of the restrictive policies introduced by the government, which in turn could have dire effects on the country’s economy if mishandled. This is in view of the fact that some of the sectors in which migrant workers seek employment represent those from which the country benefits economically and which translate into positive GDP growth for Thailand.
Protecting the rights of migrant workers in Thailand needs to be spelled out in the country’s active labor market policies in order to ensure that migrant workers are given equal rights and are not underrepresented in local policies and laws as well as by local authorities. These aspects are also spelled out in the expenses that migrant workers incur while working in Thailand, including the amount they spend on remitting money to their countries of origin. Transaction costs need to be lowered while creating cost-effective services, with various financial bodies taking into account how migrant workers send money to their families at home. For this, the empowerment of migrant workers is necessary. It is important for migrant workers to be able to effectively demand their rights and defend themselves in times of trouble and exploitation. Joint cooperation in development initiatives geared toward empowering migrant workers is therefore crucial in protecting the rights of migrant workers.

Maximizing the utilization of the skills and knowledge of local workers is also key to ensuring that Thai workers will be able to actively and productively contribute to the country’s economic activities, thereby negating the need to seek work abroad and face all the risks associated with labor migration. Job creation is essential in this case, along with the education and training provided for Thailand’s current and future labor force. Social conflicts and violations can be reduced if jobs are available for local workers to take up that do not disrupt those filled by migrant workers. This would become even more crucial in times of unexpected adverse circumstances such as that of the current global financial crisis which has affected labor migration trends in the GMS. With countries imposing restrictive policies, reintegration also becomes a crucial aspect in ensuring that social conflicts and tensions do not erupt among the various categories of workers.

Another crucial implication for future development initiatives within the GMS is the solidarity that exists among all the economies within this framework and the collective efforts to ensure that everybody involved, especially migrant workers, would be able to truly benefit from all the initiatives introduced and implemented. Such solidarity should emerge through joint efforts to consider new ways of effectively integrating labor migration within the subregion, thereby paving the way for the increased sharing of knowledge and skills along with practical frameworks aimed at ensuring sustainable development for each economy within the subregion.

Regional and bilateral cooperation should involve creating effective programs that are manageable and sustainable while creating proper migration channels for migrant workers, including fair and safe remittance channels that benefit migrant workers. In terms of reintegration, all participating countries need to increase their efforts in reintegrating migrant workers into their economies, ensuring that their newfound skills and knowledge are utilized and maximized, while also ensuring that the labor markets would be able to benefit from their reintegration. Bilateral agreements and regional cooperation should also work toward enhancing the skills of their own workers and focus less on restrictive measures that tend to favor the notion that “only a limited number of jobs are available and occupations cannot be widened.” They should ensure that the management of labor migration in the subregion is effective and efficient through its local policies, laws, and systems so that the costs will not outweigh the economic benefits. Bilateral and regional policies should integrate all aspects of the migration process: entry, settlement, social and economic integration, return, and reintegration, and ensure that these can be implemented, and are measurable, consistent, effective and manageable.

Decisions also need to be made about refugees and asylum seekers regarding their permanent settlement and integration into receiving countries, given that some are working and actively contributing to the economies of their countries of residence but losing out on the protection that they need. The host countries incur costs that could be alleviated if the migrants could acquire nationality status or citizenship. Cooperation must also resolve around increasing opportunities for women to participate in labor migration by expanding labor market roles and occupations. Moreover, cooperation must also involve the active sharing of information on best practices and how these can be adapted and integrated into the participating countries’ migration management systems.
6. CONCLUSION: BALANCING THE ECONOMIC COSTS AND BENEFITS OF LABOR MIGRATION IN THAILAND

Labor migration is an important source of economic growth for Thailand and has implications for its long-term competitiveness in the subregional and global arenas. It is therefore important that the economic costs and benefits incurred from labor migration be properly managed and balanced so that all parties involved in the process would benefit from it, and that the underrepresented are not taken advantage of. It is evident that Thailand still lacks this balance owing to its weak policy implementation and lack of consistency in managing the system designed to create such a balance. A crucial step for the country would be to carefully assess the effectiveness of all its policies and laws designed to manage labor migration, and identify all the stakeholders and parties involved in the process. In doing so, Thailand also needs to create sound coordination among all governing bodies, aligning core objectives and missions in implementing the most important national policies and laws. Cooperation from employers and migrant workers needs to constantly be encouraged and supported, while such persons need to be included in policy formation and dialogues. The formulation of all policies and laws also needs to take into consideration the empowerment of migrant workers in Thailand as well as Thai workers who go abroad to work. These measures would have significant implications for the ability of such workers to protect themselves and their rights as workers in a foreign land. Through careful assessment, sound coordination, strong cooperation, and the empowerment of workers, Thailand can move closer to achieving this balance that would prove highly useful to its long-term growth as a nation and as a major destination for foreign workers, enabling them to be a part of such accomplishments, to help build the nation, and to give back value to the system.
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